

Supplemental Amendment of the Specification for application 10/037, 718
Applicants MCGINNIS ET AL. July 15, 2006 (fax submission to 571-273-8300)

5
Total of 7 pages

Remarks

Regarding amendments to the specification

Regarding the requested amendment of paragraph [0001], the applicants requested an amendment of paragraph [0001] of the originally filed specification on October 1, 2002. That amendment of 10/1/2002 requested that paragraph [0001] include a claim to priority of application 60/326,331 and incorporate application 60/326,331 by reference into the specification of the present application.

Applicants, however, now understand that such an amendment was (and is) not permissible. This is because a statement of incorporation by reference cannot be added to an application after filing. Specifically, MPEP 201.11 (III. REFERENCE TO PRIOR APPLICATION(S)) states: "When a benefit claim is submitted after the filing of an application, the reference to the prior application cannot include an incorporation by reference statement of the prior application, unless an incorporation by reference statement of the prior application was presented upon filing of the application. See *Dart Indus. v. Banner*, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980)."

The present amendment of paragraph [0001] simply makes the text of paragraph [0001] identical to the originally filed text of paragraph [0001]. And this has the effect of canceling the improper amendment of paragraph [0001] of 10/1/2002.

Regarding the requested amendments of paragraphs [0147] and [0148], these amendments simply change the phrase "sample allele frequency" to "sample allele frequency data" by adding the word "data" once to each of the paragraphs. These amendments are made to correct obvious errors. These obvious errors are obvious to a person of ordinary skill from context (from reading paragraphs [0147] and [0148]). See especially the headings of each these paragraphs, which are from the Definitions Section [0056] of the application. These headings are "Sample allele frequency data" and "Genotype data/sample allele frequency data" respectively. See also, for example, mid paragraph [0163]. In mid paragraph [0163], the phrase "It is also possible to obtain sample allele frequency data" is correct and does not contain the obvious error as it does in [0147].

Supplemental Amendment of the Specification for application 10/037, 718
Applicants MCGINNIS ET AL. July 15, 2006 (fax submission to 571-273-8300) 6
Total of 7 pages

Regarding the requested amendment of paragraph [0249] and the addition of new paragraphs [0249.1] and [0249.2], these amendments do not add new matter to the application. The single sentence deleted from paragraph [0249] is restored as the first sentence of new paragraph [0249.1]. The single sentence includes an endnote, endnote 10. The remaining text in the two new paragraphs are taken from the references in endnote 10, Schuster, et. al. and Gyapay, et. al. (or refers to earlier related paragraphs in the application, [0143] and [0144]). The Schuster and Gyapay references are incorporated by reference into the application (see [0333]). And marked copies of the relevant pages (p. 100 Schuster, pp. 248-249 Gyapay) and passages are included herewith for the Examiner's convenience.

Supplemental Amendment of the Specification for application 10/037, 718
Applicants MCGINNIS ET AL. July 15, 2006 (fax submission to 571-273-8300)

7
Total of 7 pages

Conclusion

This Supplemental Amendment of the Specification follows an Amendment/Response of 5/30/2006 that responded to each point of rejection in the previous Office Action of 12/29/05. The applicants have requested the amendment of four existing paragraphs and have also requested the addition of two new paragraphs. No amendment adds prohibited new matter to the specification as explained in the Remarks Section above.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert O. McGinnis
Registration No. 44, 232

July 15, 2006
1575 West Kagy Blvd.
Bozeman, MT. 59715
tel (406)-522-9355

Enclosures:

- 1) Gyapay, et. al. (1994) Nature Genetics, 7: pp. 248-249
- 2) Schuster, H. et al (1995) Nature Genetics, 13(1), p. 100

with an odds ratio of 1,000:1 or better. These maps, constructed with several tools based on the LINKAGE programme package, were compared to those using the same genotypes processed with the MultiMap algorithm⁹, based on CRI-MAP¹⁰. Both sets of maps were essentially identical in order as well as distance. The main difference was observed with a few markers that were rejected by the GMS algorithm (Gene Mapping System)¹¹ because they could not be mapped to a region that was sufficiently precise whereas they were included in the comprehensive maps resulting from the MultiMap process. Refinement of the map and the increase in the number of markers has led to some modifications in the previous order of the markers. Four of these modifications concern markers previously ordered with odds ratios of greater than 1,000:1 and 14 concern markers positioned with lower probabilities.

Distribution of markers

Only one gap of over 20 cM remains on the map. The other gaps have been reduced in size. There are only 22 remaining gaps of over 10 cM, which represent 6 of the gaps which were over 20 cM on the previous map. A significant proportion of the markers from the H2 and H3 isochores (8 out of 62) were found to map to the distal end of the chromosomes and a number of the others are subtelomeric, as expected¹². This indicates that markers from these GC-rich regions should permit a more dense coverage of numerous subtelomeric regions.

Correspondance between genetic and physical distance must await integration of genetic and physical maps. Genetic linkage maps integrating polymorphic markers from different sources including AFM markers from the first set of 814 have been established recently¹³. A more extended integration project using a different strategy and including new markers from the present map is in progress.

Conclusion

About 56% of our latest genetic linkage map of 3,690 cM is at a distance of 1 cM or less from one of its markers. In many cases, these distances can be covered by cloned DNA sequences¹⁴. Moreover, the isolation and mapping of 3,000 additional markers is in progress. This will increase the density of marker coverage and perhaps extend some of the chromosomal maps. This should accelerate considerably positional cloning of hereditary disease genes by facilitating the search for additional close genetic markers and candidate exons.

Methodology

Marker development. Marker development was carried out essentially as described¹. DNA libraries were made from an *AluI* DNA digest of 46XX human DNA (sized between 300–500 bp) and cloned in M13. The sequences of the templates from the (CA)_n or (CT)_n positive clones were used to define PCR primers. The synthesized primers were tested on four unrelated 46XX individuals to obtain a first estimate of the polymorphism of the tested microsatellite markers. Markers with three or more alleles were first assigned to their chromosome and genotyped as described¹.

The H2 and H3 isochores were isolated by caesium sulphate

density gradient centrifugation of total human DNA in the presence of BAMD². The (AC)_n markers found in the fractions with the highest GC content were isolated by a single *AluI* digestion shotgun procedure as described¹.

***Genotyping.** Individuals from the eight CEPH families (102, 884, 1331, 1332, 1347, 1362, 1413 and 1416) were genotyped using standard procedures, as described¹. PCR amplifications were performed in 50 µl reaction mixtures, containing 40 ng of genomic DNA, 50 pmol of each primer, 125 µM dNTPs, 10 mM Tris pH 9, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.01% gelatin and 1 U of *Taq* polymerase (Amersham). Amplifications were carried out using the "hot-start" procedure, in which the *Taq* polymerase is added to the reaction mixtures after a first denaturation step (5 min at 96 °C) after which 35 cycles of denaturation (94 °C for 40 s) and annealing (55 °C for 30 s) are performed. An elongation step (2 min at 72 °C) ends the process. For each DNA sample, 16 amplification products from different markers were ethanol-precipitated and loaded together into single lanes of 6% polyacrylamide-8M urea denaturing gels. After migration, the DNA was transferred from the gel to a Hybond N⁺ nylon membrane (Amersham) by a contact blotting procedure¹. The markers were then revealed by successive hybridizations with one of the PCR primers which was peroxidase-labelled by modification of the ECL procedure (Amersham) and exposed to autoradiographic X-ray films⁷.

Map construction. Markers were assigned to chromosomes by pairwise linkage and possible genotyping errors were identified by comparisons between families of the pairwise recombination events between linked markers. After genotype corrections, markers from a chromosome-specific dataset were positioned on a framework consisting of the map of 814 markers⁴ using a map construction algorithm. The order of markers in the framework and complete maps were determined with the GMS algorithm¹¹. Briefly, recombination estimates for a preliminary, or trial order of the loci are used to divide the loci into subgroups of closely linked loci. Likelihoods are evaluated for different placements of subgroups, and for alternative orders of the loci within each subgroup. The best-supported order (i.e. the order with the greatest likelihood) is chosen as new trial order, and iterations are continued until convergence. Based on the best-supported order for the framework map, recombination fractions between adjacent markers were estimated with the LINKAGE programs¹⁵. Markers from this framework that underwent corrections since the 814-marker map were processed as new incoming markers. This led to a provisional order which was further reassessed as described¹⁶. Once the order remained unmodified after further computation, a search for double recombination events was undertaken. The maps were re-evaluated using the corrected genotypes until no further double recombination event could be eliminated.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the essential technical and clerical contributions of Laurens Baron, Noëlle Becue, Marielle Besnard-Gonnet, Isabelle Bordelais, Nathalie Cheron, Corinne Cruaud, Corinne Dumont, Evelyne Ernst, Karine Fonsat, Jacqueline Lotutala Mangua, Catherine Marquette, Elisabeta Mbimbi Bene, Delphine Museler, Simon Nguyen, Sandra Pezard, Martine Tranchant, Nathalie Vega, Nathalie Vuillaume, Edith Wunderle and Véronique Wunderle. We would also like to thank the informatics team of Génethon, and particularly Lydie Bougueleret, Rémi Gavrel, Philippe Gestouin, Stuart Pook, Patricia Rodriguez-Tomé, Claude Scarpelli and Guy Vayssieix. We are especially grateful to Susan Cure for her help in writing the manuscript. This work was initiated at CEPH and results from discussions with Daniel Cohen. It was supported by the Association Française contre les Myopathies, the Groupement d'Etudes et de Recherches sur les Génomies and European Union (Biomed1).

1. Liu, M. & Luty, J.A. A hypervariable microsatellite revealed by in vitro amplification of a dinucleotide repeat within the cardiac muscle actin gene. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 44, 397-401 (1989).
2. Weber, J.L. & May, P.E. Abundant class of human DNA polymorphisms which can be typed using the polymerase chain reaction. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 44, 388-396 (1989).
3. Dietrich, W. et al. A genetic map of the mouse suitable for typing intraspecific crosses. *Genetics* 131, 423-447 (1992).
4. Weissbach, J. et al. A second-generation linkage map of the human genome. *Nature* 359, 794-801 (1992).
5. Serikawa, T. et al. Rat gene mapping using PCR-analyzed microsatellites. *Genetics* 131, 701-721 (1992).
6. Bernardi, G. The isochore organization of the human genome and its evolutionary history — a review. *Gene* 135, 57-66 (1993).
7. Vignot, A. et al. A non-radioactive multiplex procedure for genotyping of microsatellite markers. In *Methods in Molecular Genetics: Gene and Chromosome Analysis* (ed. Adolph, K.W.) 211-221 (Academic Press, San Diego, 1993).
8. Weber, J.L. & Wong, C. Mutation of human short tandem repeats. *Hum. molec. Genet.* 2, 1123-1128 (1993).
9. Matise, T.C., Perlin, M. & Chakraborty, A. Automated construction of genetic linkage maps using an expert system (MultiMap): a human genome linkage map. *Nature Genet.* 6, 384-390 (1994).
10. Lander, E.S. & Green, P. Construction of multilocus genetic linkage maps in humans. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 84, 2363-2367 (1987).
11. Lathrop, M. et al. A primary genetic map of markers of human chromosome 10. *Genomics* 2, 157-184 (1988).
12. Saccone, S., De Serio, A., Della Valle, G. & Bernardi, G. The highest gene concentrations in the human genome are in T-bands of metaphase chromosomes. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 89, 4913-4917 (1992).
13. Eusew, K.H. et al. Integrated human genome-wide maps constructed using the CEPH reference panel. *Nature Genet.* 6, 381-393 (1994).
14. Cohen, D., Chumakov, I. & Weissbach, J. A first-generation physical map of the human genome. *Nature* 368, 698-701 (1993).
15. Lathrop, G.M. & Lalouel, J.M. Easy calculations of lod scores and genetic risks on small computers. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 36, 460-468 (1984).
16. Lathrop, G.M. & Lalouel, J.M. Statistical methods for linkage analysis. In *Handbook of Statistics* (ed. Chakraborty, C.) 81-123 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1981).
17. Hudson, T.J. et al. Isolation and chromosomal assignment of 100 highly informative human simple sequence repeat polymorphisms. *Genomics* 13, 622-629 (1992).
18. Barber, T.D. et al. A highly informative dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D2S211 locus linked to ALPP, FNT1 and TNP1. *Hum. molec. Genet.* 2, 68 (1993).
19. Cassard, A.M. et al. Human uncoupling protein gene: structure, comparison with rat gene, and assignment to the long arm of chromosome 4. *J. cell. Biochem.* 43, 256-264 (1990).
20. Gould, F.D. et al. The development of Sequence-Tagged sites for human chromosome 4. *Hum. molec. Genet.* 2, 1271-1288 (1993).
21. Goans, P.J. et al. Human plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 gene: Promoter and structural gene nucleotide sequences. *J. Biol. Chem.* 263, 9129-9141 (1988).
22. Wilde, P.J., Kruman, D.B. & Weber, J.L. Linkage map of human chromosome 9 microsatellite polymorphisms. *Genomics* 12, 807-809 (1992).
23. Liu, M., Sharma, V. & Luty, J.A. A highly polymorphic (TG)_n microsatellite at the D11S35 locus. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* 61, 1034 (1988).
24. Reed, K.E. et al. Molecular cloning and functional expression of human connexin37, an endothelial cell gap junction protein. *J. clin. Invest.* 91, 997-1004 (1993).
25. Bowcock, A. et al. Microsatellite polymorphism linkage map of human chromosome 13q. *Genomics* 16, 378-386 (1993).
26. Polymeropoulos, M.H., Xiao, H., Ide, S.E. & Merril, C.R. Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D14S99E locus. *Hum. molec. Genet.* 2, 490 (1993).
27. Shen, Y. et al. Four dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms on human chromosome 18 at D18S269, D18S318, D18S319 and D18S320. *Hum. molec. Genet.* 1, 773 (1992).
28. Sharma, V., Guo, Z. & Liu, M. Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D18S37 locus. *Hum. molec. Genet.* 1, 289 (1992).
29. Brophy, B.K. et al. cDNA sequence of the pregnancy-specific β 1-glycoprotein-11s (PSG-11s). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1191, 119-121 (1992).
30. Thompson, L.H. et al. Molecular cloning of the human XRCC1 gene, which corrects defective DNA strand break repair and sister chromatid exchange. *Molec. Cell Biol.* 10, 6160-6171 (1990).
31. Martin-Gallardo, A. et al. Automated DNA sequencing and analysis of 108 kilobases from human chromosome 18q13.3. *Nature Genet.* 1, 34-39 (1992).
32. Lindsey, S.J. et al. Isolation and characterization of highly polymorphic markers and retinal cDNAs in the vicinity of X-linked ichthyosis eye disease loci. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* 68, 2075 (1991).

► Fig. 2 This map contains data for 2,066 (AC)_n microsatellite markers, spanning the human genome. The chromosome maps showing the best-supported order of the markers and sex-average recombination fractions between adjacent markers are shown to scale. Most chromosomes are represented on several pages and data on the markers, such as the primer sequences, allele sizes and EMBL accession numbers, is given opposite the maps. Groups of markers for which the order cannot be resolved with odds > 1,000:1 are indicated by solid lines beside the names of the loci (on the right of each chromosome map). Rough locations are shown for selected reference markers from the CEPH database (version 6) on the left of the chromosome maps. The bars indicate regions of 1000:1 odds for positions of the reference markers based on location score analysis with respect to our maps. All Génethon markers described here have been submitted to GDB.

The number of alleles, the heterozygosity and the maximum and minimum allele sizes (size range) have been determined by observation of 28 unrelated individuals, namely the grandparents or the parents of the CEPH families 1331, 1362, 102, 1347, 1332, 1416, 1413 and 884.

The allele sizes indicated in the reference allele column are those observed in the mother of the CEPH family 1347 (individual 134702). Therefore, 134702 can be used as a reference for allele size determination. An asterisk indicates markers for which data on 134702 allele size was not available; in such cases, the size was derived from the cloned sequence.

Notes: (aa), L22371 (D1S333), Weber, J.L. (1993), unpublished; (ab), M87678 (D11S866)¹⁷; (ac), M98989²; (ad), X71445 (NTRK1), Greco, A. (1993), unpublished; (ba), X87747, (D2S211)¹⁸; (ca), L02085²; (da), L22427, Weber, J.L. (1993), unpublished; (db), X51852 (UCP1)¹⁹; (dc), L00809 (D4S192)²⁰; (dd), L09826 (D4S826)²¹; (de), L00804 (D4S610)²²; (ea), L22411 (D5S817), Weber, J.L. (1993), unpublished; (fa), Z19340 (D0S6908E), Genexpress, (1992), unpublished; (ga), L22426 (D7S803), Weber, J.L. (1993), unpublished; (gb), J03764 (PLANH1)²³; (ha), M94655²; (ia), M83639²⁴; (ib), L10820 (D9S125), Kwiatkowski (1993), unpublished; (ka), X52579 (D11S35)²⁵; (kb), L20022, Weber, J.L. (1993), unpublished; (la), M96789 (GJA4)²⁶; (ma), M99151 (D13S144)²⁷; (mb), M99142 (D13S121)²⁸; (na), L04481, (D14S99E)²⁹; (pa), L02208 (D16S318)³⁰; (ra), M88273 (D18S37)³¹; (sa), Z11689 (PSG-11)³²; (sb), M36089 (XRCC1)³³; (sc), M89651 (ref. 31); (wa), X60693 (DXS571)³⁴.

the gene for parathyroid hormone related peptide (PTHrP). PTHrP is expressed by vascular cells, lowers blood pressure, and attenuates cell proliferation¹¹. A recent gene disruption experiment resulted in homozygous mice with skeletal anomalies¹². However, we have since ruled out the PTHrP gene as the gene responsible for this syndrome and are continuing our search. In our subjects a single gene is responsible for a 50 mm Hg elevation in blood pressure by age 50 years. Elucidation of this gene will likely give new insight into mechanisms of blood pressure elevation and may be of relevance to primary hypertension.

Methods

Family material. We visited the family described by Bilginturan *et al.*², which lives in a remote area on the north-eastern Black Sea coast of Turkey and examined 45 members after written, informed consent was obtained. Six additional members currently residing in Germany were examined 4 weeks later. Nine additional members were identified in a second visit to Turkey. Height and weight were obtained, as well as photographs of each individual's hands. Blood pressure and heart rate were measured by an oscillometric automated method. Venous blood was obtained for DNA extraction.

DNA-marker analysis. Genotyping was performed using the ABI PRISM Genotyping System, including the Linkage Mapping Set, PCR 9600 thermocyclers, ABI DNA Sequencers, GeneScan and Genotyper software from Applied Biosystems Division, Perkin-Elmer Corporation (ABD). The PCR primers contained in the Linkage Mapping set amplify dinucleotide repeat loci spaced at approximately 10 cM. The loci were selected from the human linkage map generated by G6nethon¹³ based on map position, heterozygosity and performance in routine PCR analysis. The markers in the Linkage Mapping Set are organized in 28 panels, with 9 to 17 primer pairs per panel whose products can be electrophoresed and detected in a single gel lane. Forward primers are labelled with either 6-FAM, HEX, or TET fluorescent dyes which can be distinguished due to their different spectral properties. PCR products related to specific markers are automatically identified in each lane by their molecular weight and fluorescent dye¹⁴. PCR reactions and electrophoresis were performed according to manufacturer's protocol. Electrophoresis and detection were done on both, an ABI 373 DNA Sequencer equipped with GeneScan 1.2

software and an ABI 377 DNA Sequencer equipped with GeneScan 2.0 software. Data were exported as a text file from Genotyper for subsequent linkage analysis.

Linkage analysis. Prior to linkage analysis, we performed extensive simulation studies using the SLINK simulation program¹⁵. Expected lod scores were determined for various subsamples of the pedigree under different genetic models for both, the trait and the marker locus, and at recombination fractions ranging from 0.01 to 0.1. The pedigree subsample chosen for the genome scan was 36 family members (21 affected, 15 nonaffected), and yielded an E-lod of +4.85 for a codominant marker locus having a heterozygosity of 0.75 and linked to the fully penetrant trait locus at a recombination rate of 0.05. Linkage analysis was performed using the LINKAGE package version 5.1 (ref. 16). For the trait locus we used a model of nearly complete penetrance (0.99) and low phenocopy rate (0.001), a disease allele frequency of 0.001 and absence of rotation. Children below age 10 years were typed as unknown. The codominant marker locus was individually modelled according to allele numbers and frequencies. Once significant linkage to chromosomal 12 was found, we switched to the full pedigree, including one loop (person IV.14, see Fig.1), and 9 children typed unknown for the trait phenotype and thus providing marker information only. This full system was used to calculate two-point and multi-point lod scores, using the FASTLINK package¹⁷. A multipoint map was constructed with the pedigree divided into three parts and with the four most relevant marker loci (allele numbers down-coded) using LINKMAP.

Acknowledgements.

We thank A. Mühl and A. Aydın for technical assistance, and D. Haenlein for help with illustrations. Astra GmbH (Wedel, FRG) supplied the medications for the subjects. R.C.L. and T.F.W. are supported by a grant-in-aid from the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung. H.S. and H.H. are supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. H.R.T. is supported by the Friedrich Ebert Stiftung. This study was supported by a grant-in-aid (P6170895W0069) from the United States Air Force. D.G. and A.L. are affiliated with Applied Biosystems Division, Perkin Elmer Corp., Foster City, CA, USA. J.O. is supported by grant HG 00008 from the U.S. National Center for Human Genome Research. These data satisfy in part the requirements for the MD degree of H.R.T.

Received 3 January; accepted 8 February 1996.

1. Lifton, R.P. & Jeunemaitre, X. Finding genes that cause human hypertension. *J. Hypertens.* 11, 231-236 (1993).
2. Bilginturan, N., Zileli, S., Karacadeğ, S. & Pitmar, T. Hereditary brachydactyly associated with hypertension. *J. Med. Genet.* 10, 253-259 (1973).
3. Ulicki, S. *et al.* Defective fasciculate zone function as the mechanism of glucocorticoid-remediable aldosteronism. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 71, 1151-1157 (1990).
4. Rich, G.M. *et al.* Glucocorticoid-remediable aldosteronism in a large kindred: clinical spectrum and diagnosis using a characteristic biochemical phenotype. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 116, 813-820 (1992).
5. Lifton, R.P. *et al.* A chimeric 11 β -hydroxylase/aldosterone synthase gene causes glucocorticoid-remediable aldosteronism and human hypertension. *Nature* 355, 262-265 (1992).
6. Shimkuts, R.A. *et al.* Liddle's syndrome: Heritable human hypertension caused by mutations in the β subunit of the epithelial sodium channel. *Cell* 79, 407-414 (1994).
7. Harrison, J.H. *et al.* Hypertension caused by a truncated epithelial sodium channel γ subunit: genetic heterogeneity of Liddle syndrome. *Nature Genet.* 11, 78-82 (1995).
8. Spiegel, A.M. Pseudohypoparathyroidism. In *The Metabolic Basis Of Inherited Disease*, (eds Scriver, C.R., Beaudet, A.L., Sly, W.S. & Valle, D.) 2013-2027 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1989).
9. Wilson, L.C. *et al.* Brachydactyly and mental retardation: an Albritt hereditary osteodystrophy-like syndrome localized to 2q37. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 56, 400-407 (1995).
10. Haller, H., Lindemann, C., Quast, P., Distler, A. & Luft, F.C. Differentiation of vascular smooth muscle cells and the regulation of protein kinase C- α . *Circ. Res.* 78, 21-29 (1995).
11. Takahashi, K. *et al.* Parathyroid hormone-related peptide as a locally produced vasorelaxant: regulation of its mRNA by hypertension in rats. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 208, 447-455 (1995).
12. Karalis, A.C. *et al.* Lethal skeletal dysplasia from targeted disruption of the parathyroid hormone-related peptide gene. *Genes Dev.* 8, 277-289 (1994).
13. Gyspey, G. *et al.* G6nethon human genetic linkage map. *Nature Genet.* 7, 246-338 (1994).
14. Ziegler, J. Application of automated DNA sizing technology for genotyping microsatellite loci. *Genomics* 14, 1026-1031 (1992).
15. Weeks, D.E., Ott, J. & Lathrop, G.M. A general simulation program for linkage analysis. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 47, A204 (abstr.) (1990).
16. Lathrop, G.M., Lalouel, J.M., Juller, C. & Ott, J. Strategies for multilocus linkage analysis in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 81, 3443-3446 (1984).
17. Cottingham, R.W. Jr., Idury, R.M. & Schaffer, A.A. Faster sequential genetic linkage computations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 59, 252-263 (1993).